STUDENT PAPER AWARD JUDGING FORM – POSTER PRESENTATION
ANNUAL MEETING OF THE WATERBIRD SOCIETY
To be considered for an award, presenter should, ideally, receive either a "yes" or "high" for each point below.

1) **Title**
   - Is the title appropriate and interesting (e.g., catchy, informative)? Yes/No
2) **Context**
   - Does the presenter cite or refer to other literature to frame their research? Yes/No
   - Are their findings placed into a context that enhance understanding of the question or topic being addressed? Yes/No
   - Are clear predictions/research questions provided? Yes/No
3) **Analysis**
   - Is the analysis appropriate given both the data and the questions posed? Low/Med/High
4) **Graphics**
   - Are they clear and easy to read? Low/Med/High
   - Is the poster limited to a few points? Low/Med/High
   - Is there a clear, key points(s) presented? Low/Med/High
   - Are they of the correct type and number given the data? Low/Med/High
   - Are axes clearly labeled? Yes/No/Sometimes
   - Do the data support the question being addressed? Yes/No/Sometimes
5) **Style**
   - Does speaker effectively convey the research? Yes/No/Sometimes
   - Is the speaker enthusiastic, clear? Yes/No/Sometimes
   - Does speaker handle questions well? Yes/No/Sometimes
   - Does speaker orient/describe data/graphs? Yes/No/Sometimes
6) **Format**
   - Does the format follow standard scientific format where necessary? Yes/No
   - Does the student acknowledge appropriate funding sources, including the WBS if they received a travel award? Yes/No
7) **Quality of study**
   - Does the work represent a novel approach or original research? Yes/No

Name of presenter _____

Abbreviated Title _____

Comments:

Contender for an award? Yes/No/Maybe